UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,538	04/20/2006	Terence Alexander Benge		4438
Vincent L. Ram	7590 03/18/200 nik	EXAMINER		
Diller, Ramik & Wight 7345 McWhorter Place Suite 101			WOMACK, DOMINIQUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Annandale, VA	. 22003		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,538	BENGE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DOMINIQUE WOMACK	1794
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ag</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to l	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060420.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how the cover and body can be arranged to define a clearance section. Furthermore, it is unclear what defines the end of the lifting movement of the cover relative to the body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diamond et al. [US Pat No 5,804,237].
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Diamond discloses a pressurized can (Figures 2a-2c) comprising a sealed vessel (Fig 2b), a bead (Fig. 2a, #23), a product confined within a sealed vessel defining a headspace (Fig. 2b-2d & col. 5, lines 10-32), wherein headspace in fluid communication with bead #23. Figures 2a-2c show a can end #20

Application/Control Number: 10/576,538

Art Unit: 1794

where the height of the headspace is higher at bead #23 than at concave surface #21. The bead #23 is interpreted to read on the claimed access region.

Page 3

- 6. Regarding claims 2 and 3, the concave surface (Fig. 2a-2c, #21) is interpreted to read on the claimed attraction feature.
- 7. Regarding claims 5 and 10-11, Diamond discloses in Figure 2a a sealed vessel that comprises an a body (#22) having an opening for inserting a product and a cover (#22) that is arranged to seal and cover the opening after the product is inserted.
- 8. Regarding claim 9, Diamond discloses a process for manufacturing a pressurized can comprising
 - a. Taking an empty can;
 - b. Filling it with a sterilized food; and
 - c. Seaming the can end onto the can body (col. 5, lines 10-20).
- 9. The can is pressurized with liquid nitrogen (col. 4, lines 13-19 and col. 5, lines 21-32).
- 10. The cover has a design that maximized the height of the headspace at the point of first opening as shown in figures 2a-2c.
- 11. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gueritey [US Pat No 1,443,682].
- 12. Regarding claim 7, Gueritey discloses a tin can and a cover in a screw thread arrangement (lines 8-22). The cover and can arrangement is such that the cover engages the open end or edge of the can body and the cover is snugly retained on the

Art Unit: 1794

body and contact between the body end and the cover is maintained. When the cover is to be removed it will be rotated in a reverse direction and the threads of the cover will slide along the corresponding threads on the can body whereby the cover will be moved outwardly ready for convenient removal (lines 86-110). This arrangement is interpreted to read on the cover lifting a predefined distance during unscrewing of the cover from the can body.

13. Regarding claim 8, Gueritey discloses that the cover will be moved outwardly ready for convenient removal (lines 105-110). This outward movement is interpreted to provide a clearance section once the cover is released from the can body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,538

Art Unit: 1794

16. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 5

- 17. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond et al. [US Pat No 5,804,237] in view of Rayzal [US Pat No 3,814,279].
- 18. Diamond is relied upon as above with respect to claim 3.
- 19. Regarding claim 4, Diamond fails to teach a cover wherein the attraction feature is defined by a series of progressively deepening beads, which are arranged to follow the form of a dome extending towards the inside of the sealed vessel.
- 20. Regarding claim 4, Rayzal discloses a lid for a metal can (Figure 4) that has progressively deepening steps that form a concave curve (col. 4, lines 21-24). Rayzal discloses that a lid of this design doe not pop suddenly during the sterilization process (col. 3, lines 35-37).
- 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the lid of Rayzal with the can body of Diamond in order to a sealed can. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to form the sealed can with the

lid of Rayzal because the lid of Rayzal does not pop suddenly during the sterilization process.

Page 6

- 22. Regarding claim 12, Diamond discloses in Figure 2a a sealed vessel that comprises an a body (#22) having an opening for inserting a product and a cover (#22) that is arranged to seal and cover the opening after the product is inserted.
- 23. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond et al. [US Pat No 5,804,237] in view of Wobser [US Pat No 5,135,124].
- 24. Diamond is relied upon as above with respect to claim 5.
- 25. Diamond fails to teach a cover and can body in a screw thread arrangement and wherein the screw thread arrangement is adapted to allow the cover to be lifted relative to the body before the can is allowed to vent to atmospheric pressure.
- 26. Wobser discloses a container and cover with a screw thread arrangement. The arrangement disclosed by Wobser allows for the cover to be rotated relative to the container a predetermined distance and then the internal pressure within the container is vented (col. 4, lines 35-46). The arrangement prevents the removal of the cap during the venting process which ensures the cap will not become a projectile (col. 4, lines 46-50).
- 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use screw thread arrangement of Wobser in the construction of the sealed can of Diamond in order to provide a can wherein the can is vented before the cover is

Art Unit: 1794

completely removed. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide a can wherein the can is vented before the cover is completely removed because this type of can removes the dangers involved with the cover becoming a projectile during venting.

Conclusion

- 28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOMINIQUE WOMACK whose telephone number is (571) 270-7366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30am-5:00pm.
- 29. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 30. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1794

31. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/D. W./ Dominique Womack Examiner, Art Unit 1794

10 March 2009